# Terrorism and Disaster

WHAT CLINICIANS NEED TO KNOW









# Emergency Mental Health After a Suicide Bombing





Sponsored for CME Credit by Rush University Medical Center

Release Date: April 1, 2005 Expiration Date: March 31, 2007

# Terrorism what clinicians need to know

#### SERIES **EDITORS Rush University Medical Center** Chicago, Illinois

Stephanie R. Black, MD\* Assistant Professor of Medicine Section of Infectious Diseases Department of Internal Medicine

Daniel Levin, MD\* Assistant Professor General Psychiatry Residency Director Department of Psychiatry

Gillian S. Gibbs, MPH\* **Project Coordinator** Center of Excellence for Bioterrorism Preparedness

Linnea S. Hauge, PhD\* **Educational Specialist** Department of General Surgery

#### **AUTHORS Rush University Medical Center** Chicago, Illinois

Stephanie R. Black, MD\* Assistant Professor of Medicine Section of Infectious Diseases Department of Internal Medicine

Daniel Levin, MD\* Assistant Professor General Psychiatry Residency Director Department of Psychiatry

#### **Uniformed Services University Health Sciences** Bethesda, Maryland

David M. Benedek, MD, LTC, MC, USA Associate Professor of Psychiatry

Steven J. Durning, MD, Maj, USAF, MC\* Associate Professor of Medicine

Thomas A. Grieger, MD, CAPT, MC, USN\* Associate Professor of Psychiatry Associate Professor of Military & **Emergency Medicine** Assistant Chair of Psychiatry for Graduate & Continuing Education

Molly J. Hall, MD, Col, USAF, MC, FS\* Assistant Chair & Associate Professor Department of Psychiatry

Derrick Hamaoka, MD, Capt, USAF, MC, FS\* Director, Third Year Clerkship Instructor of Psychiatry

Paul A. Hemmer, MD, MPH, Lt Col, USAF, MC\* Associate Professor of Medicine

Benjamin W. Jordan, MD, CDR, MC, USNR, FS\* Assistant Professor of Psychiatry

James M. Madsen, MD, MPH, COL, MC-FS, USA\* Associate Professor of Preventive Medicine and Biometrics Scientific Advisor, Chemical Casualty Care Division, US Army Medical Research Institute of Clinical Defense (USAMRICD), APG-EA

Deborah Omori, MD, MPH, FACP, COL, MC, USA\* Associate Professor of Medicine

Michael J. Roy, MD, MPH, FACP, LTC, MC\* Associate Professor of Medicine Director, Division of Internal Medicine

Jamie Waselenko, MD, FACP\*\* Assistant Professor of Medicine Assistant Chief, Hematology/Oncology Walter Reed Army Medical Center Washington, DC

#### **Guest Faculty**

Ronald E. Goans, PhD, MD, MPH\* Clinical Associate Professor Tulane University School of Public Health & **Tropical Medicine** New Orleans, LA

Sunita Hanjura, MD\* Rockville Internal Medicine Group Rockville, MD

Niranjan Kanesa-Thasan, MD, MTMH\* Director, Medical Affairs & Pharmacovigilance Acambis Cambridge, MA

Jennifer C. Thompson, MD, MPH, FACP\* Chief, Department of Clinical Investigation William Beaumont Army Medical Center El Paso, TX

#### Faculty Disclosure Policy

It is the policy of the Rush University Medical Center Office of Continuing Medical Education to ensure that its CME activities are independent, free of commercial bias and beyond the control of persons or organizations with an economic interest in influencing the content of CME. Everyone who is in a position to control the content of an educational activity must disclose all relevant financial relationships with any commercial interest (including but not limited to pharmaceutical companies, biomedical device manufacturers, or other corporations whose products or services are related to the subject matter of the presentation topic) within the preceding 12 months If there are relationships that create a conflict of interest, these must be resolved by the CME Course Director in consultation with the Office of Continuing Medical Education prior to the participation of the faculty member in the development or presentation of course content.

- \* Faculty member has nothing to disclose.
- \*\*Faculty disclosure: CBCE Speaker's Core for SuperGen.

# Emergency Mental Health After a Suicide Bombing

CASE AUTHOR: David M. Benedek, MD, LTC, MC, USA

# **ACCREDITATION & DESIGNATION STATEMENT**

Rush University Medical Center is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME) to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

Rush University Medical Center designates this educational activity for a maximum of 1 credit of category 1 credit toward the AMA Physician's Recognition Award. Each physician should claim only those credits that he/she actually spent in the activity.

This CME activity was planned and produced in accordance with the ACCME Essentials.

CME credits are available free of charge through March 2007.

# DISCLAIMER

This project was funded by the Metropolitan Chicago Healthcare Council (MCHC) through a grant from the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA).

The opinions or assertions contained herein are the private views of the authors and are not to be construed as official or as representing the opinion of Rush University Medical Center, the Department of the Army, Department of the Navy, Department of the Air Force, Department of Defense, MCHC or HRSA.

#### FDA Approved Drug and Devices Assurance Statement

In accordance with requirements of the FDA, the audience is advised that information presented in this continuing medical education activity may contain references to unlabeled or unapproved uses of drugs or devices. Please refer to the FDA approved package insert for each drug/device for full prescribing/utilization information.

## INSTRUCTIONS

The questions that appear throughout this case are intended as a self-assessment tool. For each question, select or provide the answer that you think is most appropriate and compare your answers to the key at the back of this booklet. The correct answer and a discussion of the answer choices are included in the answer key.

Note: These self-assessment questions are not intended for CME credit. To apply for CME credit, you must complete the CME Test at the back of this booklet and submit it according to the directions provided.

In addition, a sign is provided in the back of this booklet for posting in your office or clinic. Complete the sign by adding your local health department's phone number.

Design and layout © 2005 Rush University Medical Center. The text contained herein falls under the U.S. Copyright Act of 1976 as a "U.S. Government Work" and is therefore considered Public Domain Information, however Rush University Medical Center reserves the right to copyright the design and layout of that information.

# Emergency Mental Health After a Suicide Bombing

CASE AUTHOR: David M. Benedek, MD, LTC, MC, USA

# INTENDED AUDIENCE

Mental health professionals including psychiatrists, psychologists, social workers, hospital chaplains, and other physicians who will provide evaluation and care in the aftermath of a terrorist attack or other public health disaster

# EDUCATIONAL OBJECTIVES

Upon completion of this case, participants will be able to:

- Describe the different groups of people at risk for injury and traumatic response to a suicide bombing.
- Discuss the common psychological sequelae to those exposed to the direct and indirect effects of a suicide bombing.
- Describe the expectations for recovery, change, and growth to those directly and indirectly exposed to a suicide bombing.
- List the recommended early psychological interventions for suicide bombing victims, their family and friends.
- Explain the controversy regarding debriefing for recent victims of trauma.

# CASE SUMMARY

You are a psychiatrist reporting to the Emergency Department (ED) of your county's general hospital shortly after hearing of an explosion at a nearby government office building. You are confronted with a room crowded with people identified by ED physicians as "no admission, may need psych help." You must develop a strategy to assess the mental health needs of this group, initiate treatment as necessary, and ensure appropriate follow-up where indicated.

# CASE HISTORY

Early one morning as you drive to the county hospital for routine morning rounds on your psychiatric inpatients, you are shocked to hear that an explosion has occurred in front of a government office building just six blocks from your hospital. While the news reports indicate no evidence of a chemical or radiological threat, terrorism is suspected. Although your first instinct is to drive to the scene of the explosion, you recall that the county's disaster response plan calls for your presence at the hospital's ED. As you approach the hospital, the continuous wail of sirens alerts you to the likelihood that significant numbers of seriously injured people are arriving for evaluation and treatment. The large number of cars entering the hospital parking lot suggests that other people are responding to the disaster, leading to a log-jam of cars in the parking structure. As you search for a parking spot radio news stations provide updates — as many as 15 are feared dead, upwards of 60 are wounded. These casualties and other victims are transported to local hospitals and trauma centers.

**COMMENT**: This case illustrates the importance of knowing the details of one's local emergency preparedness plan. Physicians responding to a disaster should be aware of their assigned roles, responsibilities, and duty locations. They should have participated in realistic training exercises prior to an actual disaster.

When you arrive at the ED, a nurse wearing an Emergency Response Team Vest is providing instructions: "Nurses — report to the labor pool, Room 1-B, ER Docs to triage — right outside. Surgeons to the surgery conference room. Mental Health — report to Room 1-C. ICU personnel to the ICU Annex."

You recognize Room 1-C as the ED's conference room, a medium sized classroom with seating and desk space for 30 people, but without medical supplies or examination rooms. As you head for Room 1-C, you glance at your watch and are surprised that it has already been 45 minutes since you learned of the explosion, closer to an hour and a half since it likely occurred.

Outside Room 1-C, a nurse informs you that the conference room has about "25 to 30 people in the room, but more are coming." She tells you that the group includes people who received only minor injuries from the blast and have already been treated, others who did not appear to be injured, but were brought or presented to the ED due to their proximity to the blast, and still others that "the ER docs said seemed really shaken up and needed psych." The nurse explains that all patients not admitted to the hospital will eventually be directed to Room 1-C for wound care and follow-up instructions, but that many are still waiting to be seen by the ER physicians. She says that a chaplain and a psychologist "are in there talking to folks. Go in and ask them how you can help."

**COMMENT**: In most mass casualty situations, initial ED triage will identify patients in critical need of medical or surgical intervention and hospital admission. Minor injuries will be stabilized. Persons not requiring hospital admission will be directed to waiting areas for follow-up assessments and discharge instructions, but this process may be delayed as life-saving interventions are implemented for others. Such holding areas are likely to include patients who do not appear to warrant psychiatric admission, but may require psychological assessment and supportive care.

You recall that the Diagnostic Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IV-TR) differentiates between transient responses to minimal stressors (eg, adjustment disorders) and more significant social, occupational, or interpersonal impairment following an event. Examples of these more significant impairments include acute stress disorder (ASD), beginning within 2 days of the event and lasting up to 4 weeks, and posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), persisting more than 30 days after exposure or occurring after a delay of months to years. You quickly become concerned about the well-being of the family members of those killed in the explosion. You remember that significant stressors may exacerbate pre-existing psychiatric disorders, that depression or anxiety disorders (eg, panic disorder) may follow traumatic events, and that somatic complaints without clear medical explanation can occur in the aftermath of disaster.<sup>1</sup> You begin to wonder about how members of the community will be functioning months or years from now.

Immediate phase	<ul> <li>strong emotions</li> <li>disbelief</li> <li>numbness</li> <li>fear</li> <li>confusion accompanied by symptoms of autonomic arousal and anxiety</li> </ul>
Delayed phase	<ul> <li>persistence of autonomic arousal</li> <li>intrusive recollections</li> <li>somatic symptoms</li> <li>combinations of anger, mourning, apathy, and social withdrawal</li> </ul>
Chronic phase	<ul> <li>continued intrusive symptoms and arousal</li> <li>disappointment</li> <li>resentment</li> <li>sadness for others</li> <li>re-focusing on new challenges</li> <li>rebuilding of lives</li> </ul>

# Table 1. Community Response to Traumatic Events

**COMMENT**: Studies have described the range of emotional response to disaster in the context of a multi-phasic traumatic stress response (Table 1). Prospective studies suggest that symptomatic distress peaks in the days and weeks following traumatic exposure and then gradually declines over the course of the year after injury. In the National Comorbidity Survey, the prevalence of PTSD<sup>2</sup> was 7.8%, but it is estimated to be considerably higher in primary care-seeking populations and those exposed to mass-violence.<sup>3-5</sup> Surveys of traumatically exposed populations suggest that natural recovery over the first 3 to 6 months is the general rule. In those who develop PTSD, symptoms decrease most rapidly in the first 12 months.<sup>2</sup> However, one-third of people who develop PTSD experience chronic symptoms that do not remit. Some exposed patients develop long-lasting personality changes, impaired affect modulation, self-destructive behavior, shame, despair, hopelessness, impaired interpersonal functioning, or a loss of previously held supportive beliefs. Some remain relatively symptom-free and have little or no lasting impairment associated with trauma exposure. Still others report interpersonal growth experiences as a result of their traumatic exposure.

## **QUESTION 1**

List 4 possible psychiatric sequelae in the immediate aftermath of a traumatic event. (Write your answer in the space provided.)



Reminder: You can find the Answer Key & Discussion on page 8.

# Table 2. Psychiatric Diagnoses Often Applicable to Injured Trauma Survivors Treated in the Acute Care Medical Setting\*,<sup>+</sup>

Diagnosis	Symptomatic Criteria	Functional Criteria	Time Course	
Posttraumatic stress disorder	<ul> <li>A. Exposure to a traumatic event in which the person experienced or witnessed a life-threatening event that was associated with intense emotions (eg, physical injury)</li> <li>B. The event is persistently re-experienced</li> <li>C. Persistent avoidance of reminders of the event</li> <li>D. Persistent arousal symptoms</li> </ul>	Symptoms are associated with clinically significant impairments in social, occupational, or even physical function.	Diagnosis must be made at least 1 month after the event.	
Acute stress disorder	<ul> <li>A. Exposure to a traumatic event in which the person experienced or witnessed a life threatening event that was associated with intense emotions (eg, physical injury)</li> <li>B. Either while experiencing the event or after, the person experiences 3 or more dissociative symptoms, eg, numbing, reduced awareness of surroundings, derealization, depersonalization, dissociative amnesia</li> <li>C. The event is re-experienced</li> <li>D. Avoidance of reminders of the event</li> <li>E. Symptoms of arousal</li> </ul>	Symptoms are associated with clinically significant impairments in social, occupational, or even physical function.	Diagnosis can be made between 2 and 30 days after the event.	
Major depressive episode	Five or more of the following‡: depressed mood, diminished interest in pleasurable activities, weight loss or gain, insomnia or hypersomnia, agita- tion or retardation, fatigue or energy loss, feelings of worthlessness, poor concentration, and suicidal ideation	Symptoms are associated with clinically significant impairment in social, occupational, or even physical function.	Symptoms must be present for 2 weeks.	
Traumatic grief	Distressing thoughts and experiences related to reunion, longing, and searching for the deceased loved one (non-DSM-IV).	The disturbance causes clinically significant impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of functioning.	Duration of disturbance is at least 2 months.	
Adjustment disorder	<ul> <li>A. Development of emotional or behavioral symptoms in response to an identifiable stressor. Symptoms can include depression, anxiety, conduct disturbance, or other emotional disturbance.</li> <li>B. The symptoms or behaviors are clinically significant as evidenced by marked distress.</li> </ul>	Emotional or behavioral symptoms are associated with marked impairment in social, role, or even physical function.	Onset of stressor occurs with- in 3 months after the trau- matic injury. Symptoms do not persist longer than 6 months once stressor has terminated.	

\* Adapted from DSM-IV and Zatzick D.<sup>6</sup>

† Posttraumatic symptoms may be present that are insufficient to meet criteria for the above diagnoses. In such cases, DSM IV-TRV Code Diagnoses would be indicated, as would continued monitoring for the development of further psychiatric disorder(s). Other mood and anxiety disorders may occur, or be exacerbated by traumatic exposures.

‡ At least one of the five symptoms must be either depressed mood or diminished interest in pleasurable activities.

As you enter Room 1-C, a chaplain appears to be addressing a group of about 9 patients whose chairs are arranged in a circle, partitioned off from the rest of the room by an office divider. Approximately 20 patients are sitting at 2 conference tables and appear to be filling out paperwork. Many look somewhat dazed, some are crying. You recognize a psychologist from the inpatient unit who is interviewing one individual at a time in another corner of the room. Not certain of where you fit in to this situation, you approach the psychologist when he is between patients. He explains the nurses are assessing each patient to insure that they are stable, everyone is filling out insurance paperwork, and the chaplain made an announcement that anyone who wanted to participate in a group, and discuss how they are feeling should join him, and they've been going for about 20 minutes. "I'm just seeing if anyone is suicidal, homicidal, or psychotic. What else should we be doing?"

**COMMENT**: Treatment in the immediate aftermath of trauma should aim to reduce current distress. Ideally, it should prevent future disorders. Small controlled trials support the efficacy of cognitive behavioral approaches, but in the first hours or even days after an event people may not be able to listen attentively or absorb new information in a manner that promotes recovery. Data from controlled studies of medication interventions are lacking. Recent pilots of propanolol and imipramine suggest these may be beneficial in reducing posttraumatic symptoms in specific populations in small controlled trials. While benzodiazepines reduce immediate anxiety and improve sleep, they may also increase the likelihood of subsequent development of PTSD symptoms.

Supportive interventions and psycho-education appear to be helpful as early interventions. When access to expert care is limited, rapid dissemination of educational fact sheets may reassure many with sub-syndromal manifestations, provide guidance for self-help, and outline additional means for obtaining assistance. Such materials describe expected physiological and emotional responses to traumatic events, stress reduction techniques, the utility of remaining mentally active, concentrating on self-care tasks, effects of decreasing or continued exposure, and referral recommendations for seeking consultation if symptoms persist. Because symptoms develop over time and patients may be reluctant to seek mental health assistance, efforts should also focus on identifying persons at risk and mechanisms that facilitate follow-up assessment. Examples of these educational fact sheets can be found at: http://www.usuhs.mil/psy/disasteresources.shtml.

## **QUESTION 2**

List interventions that are generally recommended in the acute aftermath of a disaster for victims who do not require immediate medical or surgical intervention. (Write your answer in the space provided.)

**COMMENT**: Recommendations for Psycho-Social Intervention

- 1. Brief exposure assessment. Screening evaluation for severe symptoms (agitation, disorientation, dissociation)
- Address basic needs, (eg, might identify patient who will not be able to pick up food stamps since government office building has been destroyed)
- Provide psycho-educational materials regarding the range of expected responses to traumatic exposures (including ASD, PTSD) and points of contact should worrisome symptoms develop.
- Record contact information and permission to follow-up with exposed persons, so that status may be monitored over time.
- 5. Sedative-Hypnotic medications for acutely agitated individuals may reduce immediate agitation and anxiety and promote sleep, but do not prevent development of PTSD.

You remember from the last disaster drill that the educational handouts are located in the Behavioral Health disaster preparedness box. Along with these handouts are phone numbers for the outpatient behavioral health clinic and other support agencies. You ask a member of the disaster response team to get the box of handouts. When he returns with the box you ask the nurse who appears to be in charge of activities in Room 1-C to begin distributing these materials along with other discharge instructions.

# **QUESTION 3**

List populations considered to be at greater risk for the development of psychiatric disorders in the aftermath of a terrorist bombing. (Write your answer in the space provided.)

A nurse asks you to identify people who may be at greater risk of developing complications of psychiatric disorder in response to this event and to note this risk on their hospital record. You assess their current mental health status and ability to understand discharge instructions/follow-up recommendations that will be provided to them soon by the nursing staff. Additionally, you assure them that their immediate concerns about food, shelter, as well as questions about the status of their loved ones will be addressed.

The chaplain announces that he is ready to speak with another group. You are concerned that the chaplain is conducting single-session critical incident debriefing intervention in an effort to prevent the development of PTSD or ASD. The literature suggests that such interventions do not prevent these illnesses and may be harmful to some people by increasing their exposure to trauma. You ask the chaplain about the nature of his discussions with patient groups and he informs you that he has been reminding patients to seek strength in their own spirituality if this has been helpful in the past, and on request has led some in prayer. The chaplain states that he has never

### THE DEBRIEFING DEBATE

Psychological debriefing is a staged, semi-structured intervention that addresses both facts and emotions related to a particular traumatic event. Although it was developed as an intervention to prevent the negative emotional sequelae including ASD and PTSD, well-controlled studies of debriefing as a single session individual or group intervention have not demonstrated efficacy. Although some participants have reported that they experience debriefings as helpful,<sup>7</sup> there is no evidence at present that establishes debriefing as an effective prevention strategy.<sup>8,9</sup> In some settings it has been shown to increase symptoms.<sup>10,11</sup>

been trained in Critical Event Debriefing or Critical Incident Stress Debriefing and asks if you should begin conducting such a group with the people in the room. Instead, you inform him of your concerns about these sessions. Since it is clear many in the room are looking to the chaplain for guidance, you encourage him to continue to educate groups on the importance of using support sources, such as religion, that have worked for them during difficult times in the past. You ask him to identify people who are concerned about their loved ones, and you help them establish liaisons with others who may know more about the health and safety status of their family members.

# **QUESTION 4**

Which of the following statements is <u>incorrect</u> about the single-session group interventions in the period immediately following a traumatic event?

- a. Most well-controlled studies of single session individual or group debriefings in the immediate aftermath of traumatic events have demonstrated efficacy at preventing the development of PTSD.
- b. Some studies suggest that psychological debriefings may, in some instances, be harmful
- c. There is limited evidence that debriefings may be helpful to some individuals under specific circumstances.
- d. Psychological debriefing is a staged, semi-structured intervention that addresses both facts and feelings related to a trauma.

Patients who have received their educational materials are being discharged and more patients continue to arrive in Room 1-C. Realizing that the psychiatric and psychological burden of this event will not become clear for weeks or months you return to your desk to assist in the screening process. Although natural recovery over the next 3 to 6 months is the general rule, you are reassured by the fact that your hospital disaster plan includes having other clinicians in the intensive care units, surgical suites, recovery rooms, and the visitor center identifying individuals at increased risk for developing post-traumatic sequelae over time so that follow-up may be provided as necessary.

# ANSWER KEY & DISCUSSION

### **QUESTION 1**

List 4 possible psychiatric sequelae in the immediate aftermath of a traumatic event.

#### **POSSIBLE ANSWERS INCLUDE:**

- No Axis I Diagnosis (V codes)
- Adjustment Disorders
- Acute Stress Disorder (later, PTSD)
- Panic Disorder, Generalized Anxiety Disorder, and Phobias
- Mood Disorders
- Disorders secondary to general medical conditions (including delirium)
- Multiple somatic symptoms, fatigue, insomnia (Somatization Disorder)
- Exacerbation of pre-morbid mood, affective, or thought disorders.
- Factitious Disorder or malingering

Although natural recovery over 3 to 6 months in the aftermath of traumatic exposure is the general rule, depressive disorders and anxiety disorders including, but not limited to, ASD or PTSD, may result. Head injury suffered as a result of blast or missile may also precipitate mood or anxiety disorder as well as altered mental status. Somatic symptoms not fully explained by physical injury or illness may increase following disaster or trauma. When additional attention or compensation is provided to disaster victims, people may consciously or unconsciously feign or exaggerate symptoms. Table 2 provides an overview of psychiatric diagnoses often applicable to trauma survivors.

# **QUESTION 2**

List interventions that are generally recommended in the acute aftermath of a disaster for victims who do not require immediate medical or surgical intervention.

#### **POSSIBLE ANSWERS INCLUDE:**

- Brief initial assessment to identify degree of exposure. Screening evaluation for severe symptoms (agitation, disorientation, dissociation)
- Supportive interventions to address basic needs, (eg, might identify patient who will not be able to pick up food stamps since government office building has been destroyed)
- Provide psycho-educational materials to patients, families, and staff
- Record contact information and permission to follow-up, so that status may be monitored over time
- Sedative-hypnotic medications for acute agitated individuals may reduce immediate agitation and anxiety and promote sleep, but do not prevent development of PTSD

# **QUESTION 3**

List populations considered to be at greater risk for the development of psychiatric disorders in the aftermath of a terrorist bombing.

#### **POSSIBLE ANSWERS INCLUDE:**

- elderly
- children
- those with impairing physical injuries
- those with pre-morbid psychiatric conditions
- family members of those significantly injured or killed

Since healthy coping includes reliance on others for support, those with limited psycho-social support or poor access to medical care, such as the elderly, are at risk for developing psychiatric disorders in the aftermath of disaster. The loss or debilitating injury of a parent may be particularly difficult for children, shattering basic assumptions about the world as a safe and just place. The incidence of anxiety disorders (including ASD/PTSD) and depression in persons with serious physical injuries also increases, in part, as a patient confronts barriers imposed on normal activity (eg, work, recreation, exercise) by these injuries. Highly stressful situations can exacerbate many pre-morbid psychiatric conditions including mood, anxiety, and psychotic disorders, and the loss or injury of loved ones.

## **QUESTION 4**

Which of the following statements is <u>incorrect</u> about the single-session group interventions in the period immediately following a traumatic event?

**ANSWER:** The correct answer is a. Randomized controlled studies and meta-analyses have not demonstrated efficacy of debriefing in preventing PTSD.

In one study,<sup>10</sup> randomly selected victims who received debriefings 24-48 hours after motor vehicle crashes demonstrated either similar or worsened symptomatic outcomes compared with controls at 4 months.

While debriefing does not appear to prevent the development of PTSD, participants often acknowledge that they feel the debriefing is helpful in some manner. Open trials have demonstrated improvement in self-efficacy and reduced anxiety in the short term.<sup>7</sup>

#### REFERENCES

- Benedek DM, Ursano RJ. Military and disaster psychiatry. In: Smelser NJ, Baltes PB, eds. International Encyclopedia of the Social and Behavioral Sciences. Oxford, UK: Elsevier Press; 2001:9850-9857.
- Kessler RC, Sonnega A, Bromet, E, Hughes M, Nelson CB. Posttraumatic Stress Disorder in the National Comorbidity Survey. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1995;52:1048-1060.
- 3. Stein MB, Mcquaid JR, Pedrelli P, Lenox R, McCahill ME. Posttraumatic Stress Disorder in the primary care medical setting. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*. 2000;22:261-269.
- 4. Schlenger WE, Caddell JM, Ebert L, et al. Psychological reactions to terrorist attacks: findings from the National Study of Americans' Reactions to September 11. *JAMA*. 2002;288:581-588.
- 5. Weine SM, Becker DF, McGlashan TH, et al. Psychiatric consequences of 'ethnic cleansing': clinical assessments and trauma testimonies of newly resettled Bosnian refugees. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1995;152:536-542.
- 6. Zatzick D. Posttraumatic stress, functional impairment, and service utilization after injury: a public health approach. *Semin Clin Neuropsychiatry*. 2003;8: 149-157.
- 7. Shalev AY, Peri T, Rogel-Fuchs Y, Ursano RJ, Marlowe D. Historical group debriefing after combat exposure. *Mil Med.* 1998;163:494-498.
- van Emmerik A, Kamphuis JH, Hulsbosch AM, Emmelkamp PM. Single session debriefing after psychological trauma: a meta-analysis. *Lancet.* 2002;360:766-771.
- 9. Rose S, Bisson J. Brief early psychological interventions following trauma: a systematic review of the literature. *J Trauma Stress*. 1998;11:697-710.
- Hobbs M, Mayou R, Harrison B, Worlock P. A randomized controlled trial of psychological debriefing for victims of road traffic accidents. BMJ. 1996;313:1438-1439.
- 11. Rose S, Bisson J, Wessely S. Psychological debriefing for preventing post traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2001;3:CD000560.

#### SUGGESTED READING

- Ursano RJ, McCaughey BG, Fullerton CS, eds. Individual and Community Responses to Trauma and Disaster: The Structure of Human Chaos. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press; 1994.
- Vlahov D, Galea S, Resnick H, et al. Increased use of cigarettes, alcohol, and marijuana among Manhattan, New York, residents after the September 11th terrorist attacks. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2002;155: 988-996.
- 3. Shuster MA, Stein BD, Jaycox LH, et al. A national survey of stress reactions after the September 11, 2001, terrorist attack. *N Engl J Med.* 2001;345:1507-1512.

# EVALUATION FORM TERRORISM AND DISASTER: WHAT CLINICIANS NEED TO KNOW Emergency Mental Health After a Suicide Bombing

#### **Participant Information**

Name/Degree		Practice setting:	Hospital/In-patient	Outpatient/Clinic	🖵 Other
Address		Email			
City		Clinical Specialty			
State	Zip	Signature			
Telephone		Date			

#### Instructions for Physicians Receiving Credit

The questions that follow may be used to obtain continuing medical education credit. To obtain 1 hour of Category 1 credit towards the AMA Physician's Recognition Award, read this case study, which will take one hour of your time, circle the correct answer to each of the CME questions, complete the evaluation form, and return both the CME question page and the evaluation form via mail or fax to:

Rush University Medical Center Office of Continuing Medical Education 600 South Paulina Street, Suite 433 AAF Chicago, Illinois 60612 Fax: (312) 942-2000

#### Instructions for Social Workers Receiving Credit

The questions that follow may be used to obtain Illinois social work continuing education credit. Non-Illinois licensees and other professions will be provided with a Certificate of Completion that can be submitted for credit. To receive credit, read this case study, circle the correct answer to each of the continuing education questions, complete the evaluation form, and return both the question page and the evaluation form via mail to:

Nancy L. Reid, LCSW Rush University Medical Center Utilization Management Department 1653 West Congress Parkway, Room 207 Kidston Chicago, Illinois 60612

#### Social Workers, please include your Illinois License #

Case	Study	Eva	luation

Please rate this case study according to the following scale: 1=Very Poor 2=Poor 3=Fair 4=Good 5=Very Poor 3=Fair 4=Fair 4=Fair 4=Fair 4=Fair 4=Fair 4=Fair 4=Fair 4=Fair 4=Fair 4=	ery Good 6=Excellent	
1. Accredited CME activities must be "free of commercial bias for or against any product." In this regard, how would you rate this activity? If you perceived any bias, please provide specific comments below.		
2. How well did the case study satisfy your purpose for reading it?	000000	
3. To what extent were the stated objectives of the case study achieved?		
4. In general, was the case study well organized and presented?		
5. To what extent has this CME activity improved your preparedness to recognize and care for victims of a terrorism attack or other public health disaster?		
6. What was your overall rating of this case study?		
7. I would recommend this case study to a colleague.	Yes No	
8. Based upon your review of this case, what specific action(s) could you take to enhance disaster preparedness in your workplace?	Please estimate the probability that you will act on this item (0-100% where 100% = certainty)	
A	A	
B	В	
C	С	
Please check this box if you prefer not to be contacted for follow-up about the impact of this activity on your clinical practice	<u>).</u>	

# QUESTIONS FOR CONTINUING EDUCATION CREDIT

- 1. The psychiatric differential diagnosis for patients presenting for care in the aftermath of a terrorist event such as a suicide bombing includes:
  - a. Adjustment Disorder
  - b. Grief reactions
  - c. Panic Disorder
  - d. No Axis I Diagnosis
  - e. All of the above

#### 2. Which of the following statements is true about treatment of persons exposed to traumatic events?

- a. Well-controlled trials have established the efficacy of SSRI's in preventing the development of PTSD when administered shortly after exposure.
- b. Preliminary evidence suggests cognitive behavioral approaches may be helpful in the aftermath of trauma.
- c. Psychological debriefings reduce posttraumatic sequelae when delivered by appropriately trained individuals.
- d. Mental status examination findings such as agitation and fear in the hours immediately after the event are accurate predictors of future development of PTSD.
- 3. What are important design considerations in creating educational materials provided to ambulatory victims of terrorist attacks prior to discharge from medical observation?
  - a. Advising patients of predictable responses to traumatic events since hyper-suggestibility will lead to symptom development
  - b. Guidance on self-help and stress management techniques
  - c. Should be limited to specific and simple wound-care instructions since trauma exposed persons will not be able to synthesize new information
  - d. Are of limited utility as most symptoms will require ongoing medical evaluation and medication management

#### 4. Which of the following statements about psychological debriefings is true?

- a. They have been shown to prevent development of PTSD only when patients initially experience them as unpleasant or painful.
- b. They have demonstrated efficacy in reducing posttraumatic symptomatology, but only as a group intervention.
- c. They may result in secondary traumatization for some patients as they hear others recount their experiences.
- d. They should be considered as a first-line intervention strategy in the immediate aftermath of a terrorist attack.
- 5. What considerations should you keep in mind for mass casualty situation patients not requiring intensive care or immediate surgical intervention?
  - a. These patients are at very limited risk for the development of symptoms requiring mental health intervention.
  - b. They are more likely than acutely physically injured persons to develop Acute Stress Disorder or other anxiety disorders.
  - c. These patients should be instructed in new coping strategies while in the ER since previously effective coping mechanisms are most likely to be overwhelmed by their recent experience.
  - d. Patients should be encouraged to utilize their own natural support systems and reminded that exercise and remaining mentally active may be helpful in reducing distress.

# Terrorism WHAT clinicians need to know

Rush University Medical Center faculty, in collaboration with faculty from the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences (USUHS) authored a case series to provide continuing medical education (CME) for terrorism preparedness and other public health emergencies.

A series of 14 case studies was developed to provide innovative learning opportunities for health professionals to problem-solve issues related to terrorism or other public health emergencies. Due to the complicated and volatile nature of a terrorist event, the case studies were designed to expand outside the clinicianpatient interaction and involve:

- deploying outside resources
- notifying appropriate officials
- coordinating a response team dealing with media and
- concerned public
- initiating emergency/disaster plans

Each case provides the CME user with decision-making challenges within his or her discipline, along with scenarios that address broader interdisciplinary issues. This interdisciplinary approach is particularly important in disaster preparedness, when health professionals will likely be called on to work outside their day-to-day experiences. Authored by experts in the field, each self-paced case includes a thorough case history, questions to test your knowledge, a resource list of additional readings and relevant websites. One-hour CME and CEU credit is available for each case, following the successful completion of the CME questions included with each case. The CME and CEU credit is available free of charge through March 2007.

#### The cases in the series include:

#### MEDICINE

The medicine cases address recognition of the agent, diagnosis, treatment, and medical case management.

- Pneumonic Plague
- Radiation Attack
- Sarin
- Smallpox
- Staphylococcal Enterotoxin B
- Viral Hemorrhagic Fevers

#### **PSYCHIATRY**

The psychiatry cases address issues of disaster psychiatry.

- Emergency Mental Health After a Suicide Bombing
- Psychiatric Sequelae in a Survivor of 9/11
- Psychosocial Management of a Radiation Attack

#### INTERDISCIPLINARY

The interdisciplinary cases address basic medical management,general disaster planning, communicating with the media and concerned public, and psychosocial case management.

- Inhalational Anthrax
- Pulmonary Toxicants
- SARS
- Smallpox
- Viral Encephalitis

For more information or to order your free copy of any of the cases in this series, please contact:

Office of Continuing Medical Education Rush University Medical Center Suite 433 AAF Chicago, Illinois 60612 Telephone: (312) 942-7119 Facsimile: (312) 942-2000 E-mail: cme\_info@rush.edu



